

Utilities Policy Advisory Committee (UPAC) Wednesday, December 4, 2024 8:00 a.m. – 10:00 a.m.

Blue River Board Room 121 S. Tejon Plaza of the Rockies or Microsoft Teams <u>Click here to join the meeting</u>

8:00 a.m.	1.	Call to Order	
8:05 a.m.	2.	Approval of November 6, 2024, UPAC Meeting Minutes	Decision
8:10 a.m.	3.	Bechtel and TerraPower	Discussion
9:00 a.m.	4.	Colorado Springs Utilities Key Account Manager for Local Military Installations	Discussion
9:45 a.m.	5.	Selection of UPAC Officers for 2025	Decision
9:50 a.m.	6.	Customer Comment Citizens can provide comment in person, by joining the meeting from computer or by phone using the link above. If you would like to speak during the citizen comment period, please sign up to speak through <u>BoardSubmissions@csu.org</u> prior to the meeting.	Discussion
9:55 a.m.	7.	Committee Member General Discussion	
10:00 a.m.	8.	Adjournment	
		Next meeting: January 8, 2024	
		Note: UPAC Bylaws, Rule 6: Customer and Public Comment: (b) At the discretion of the Chair, or the majority of the Committee Members present, customers and members of the public will be allowed to comment or ask questions concerning items discussed at regular meetings or concerning matters discussed at special meetings. Comments or questions by individuals will be limited to five minutes each, and all customer or public comments will not	

exceed twenty minutes on any agenda item unless time is extended by the Chair

or majority of the Committee Members present.



Minutes Utilities Policy Advisory Committee (UPAC) Wednesday, Nov. 6, 2024 Blue River Boardroom, 5th floor, 121 S. Tejon St., Colorado Springs, CO and Microsoft Teams Virtual Meeting

Committee members present in the Boardroom or via Microsoft Teams:

Chair Larry Barrett, Scott Smith, Gary Burghart, Michael Borden, David Watson, Katherine Danner, Chris Meyer, Tom Carter and Albert Badeau

Committee members excused: None

Staff members present in the Boardroom or via Microsoft Teams: Travas Deal, Kaitlin Haslam, Bethany Schoemer, Amy Lewis, Tristan Gearhart, Al Wells, Christian Nelson, Marcela Espinoza, Heather Tocci, Fadil Lee, Leslie Smith, Gabe Caunt, Kathryn Rozwod, Steve Barry, Kerry Baugh, Matt Dudden, Tyrone Johnson and Jacqueline Nunez

Utilities Board members present in the Boardroom or via Microsoft Teams: None

City of Colorado Springs staff present in the Boardroom or via Microsoft Teams: David Beckett

Residents present in the Boardroom or via Microsoft Teams:

Mason Baker and Michael Squires

1. Call to Order

Chair Larry Barrett called the meeting to order at 8:01 a.m. and called the roll.

2. Approval of Oct. 2, 2024, UPAC Meeting Minutes

Committee Member Chris Meyer made a motion to approve the Oct. 2, 2024, meeting minutes and Committee Member Katherine Danner seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

3. Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems Presentation

Mr. Mason Baker, Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems (UAMPS) Chief Executive Officer, presented experiences their agency has had in the nuclear generation space. UAMPS provides wholesale electric energy services, on a nonprofit basis, to community-owned power systems throughout the Intermountain West. There are currently 50 members from Utah, Arizona, California, Idaho, New Mexico and Wyoming.

UAMPS terminated their Carbon Free Power Project due to construction risk concerns and the inability to reach 80% subscription target. The project

development started in 2012 with NuScale Power technology. This helped secure \$1.4 billion cost-sharing with the Department of Energy. UAMPS engaged with the Shoshone-Bannock tribes starting in 2014 for site considerations. Ultimately the construction cost deterred potential partners, and the interest rate increased 150 basis points, impacting power costs along with supply chain issues and labor availability.

Mr. Baker discussed their resource mix and energy transition needs which includes their current peak load of 1,350 megawatts. The resource mix includes 25% hydro, 15% coal, with an increase in natural gas. Their planning requirement increases to 115% of peak load for resource adequacy. UAMPS is currently studying 1,200 megawatts of new generation options.

4. Customer Comment

There were no customer comments.

5. Committee Member General Discussion

Colorado Springs Utilities CEO Travas Deal discussed the permitting process for the Nixon site. It is estimated that \$15 million would be the initial site permitting cost. This approach could open potential partners with an already-permitted site. Clear Springs Ranch was identified as a potential nuclear site with 1,000+ acres of existing transmission infrastructure.

The power plant transition strategy and timing were discussed. The committee identified a 15 year+ timeline for nuclear power implementation. The committee noted the importance of parallel planning for both short-term needs and long-term nuclear possibilities.

Mr. Barrett noted that his term serving as chair will conclude at the end of the year. He will be continuing as a committee member. Chair and vice chair elections are scheduled for the December meeting. Mr. Barrett will provide recommendations for the new leadership positions. In December, it is anticipated that we will have representatives from Bechtel, TerraPower, and a Springs Utilities military account representative. A presentation on ethics, open meetings, and the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) will be scheduled for December 2024 or January 2025.

6. Adjournment

Committee Chair Larry Barrett adjourned the meeting at 10:30 a.m.

Next meeting: Dec. 4, 2024, at 8:00 a.m. in the Blue River Board Room

TerraPower Introduction

December 2024

Christian Blessing Director of Strategic Development





2012

• TerraPower laboratory is established.

TerraPower identifies

molten salt reactors as

a research technology.



2022-2024

- TerraPower works to finalize Natrium design.
- TerraPower secured a total of **\$830 million** in the largest private fundraise among advanced nuclear companies.

2006

Bill Gates and like-minded visionaries determine the private sector must act to develop clean energy resources to halt climate change and to raise global living standards.



2020-2021

•

- The DOE awards TerraPower **\$2 billion** to demonstrate the Natrium[™] reactor and integrated energy system.
- TerraPower and PacifiCorp announce efforts to advance the Natrium^{™1} reactor demonstration project near a retiring coal plant in Wyoming.





TerraPower - Energy & Radiopharmaceuticals



With over 15 years of innovation, TerraPower continues to grow and diversify its portfolio. Its multidisciplinary team has made progress on advanced reactor designs, reactor licensing, design software and isotope production

The Natrium reactor program and TerraPower Isotopes (TPI) are now ready for commercial deployment, while the Molten Chloride Fast Reactor (MCFR) remains in the research and development stage.



TerraPowe

Carbon-Free Power for the Clean Natrium Energy Transition

- Natrium provides scalable, dispatchable power, • supporting decarbonization efforts and stabilizing grids with high renewable penetration.
- The integrated energy storage capability allows ٠ Natrium to function as a peaker plant, supplying critical power when intermittent sources like solar and wind are unavailable.
- A key innovation is Natrium's novel architecture, ٠ which simplifies and separates major components, allowing the power block to be constructed and operated without nuclear-grade equipment.
- Natrium is particularly well-suited for converting ٠ retired coal plants into nuclear facilities, offering a pathway to repurpose existing infrastructure.
 - Ready for Commercial Deployment

Transforming the Fight Against toi ISOTOPES Cancer

- TerraPower Isotopes (TPI) is at the forefront of developing the next generation of medical isotopes, with a focus on revolutionizing oncology treatment.
- TPI is one of the few companies capable of delivering Actinium-225, a rare isotope with significant potential in cancer therapies.
- In January 2024, TerraPower delivered its first samples of Actinium-225 to customers, marking a groundbreaking step in expanding the availability of this critical isotope and moving closer to commercial sales.

Ready for Commercial Deployment

Nuclear Technology R&D with MCFR **Potential for Multiple Use Cases**

- The Molten Chloride Fast Reactor (MCFR) has the potential to provide a low-cost, versatile solution for industrial process heating, chemical production, waste reduction, and maritime propulsion.
- MCFR's development program addresses a broad array of use cases across large end markets.
- TerraPower's strong partnerships, including a ٠ collaborative development effort with Southern Company, bring expertise and funding to the program.
- The project received the 2020 ARDP Risk Reduction ٠ Award, with an 80/20 cost-sharing arrangement between the U.S. Government and TerraPower.

In R&D Stage



Natrium Reactor Plant

ENERGY ISLAND

Ę

- No Nuclear QA Work
- Operations support energy dispatch, demand following, arbitrage
- No immediate impact on reactor



NUCLEAR ISLAND

- Graded Nuclear QA
 Work
- Operations focused on constant reactor power
- No immediate impact from energy storage or turbine



The Natrium Technology



Advanced nuclear reactor

- Sodium-cooled fast reactor
- 345 MWe clean energy
- 4x more fuel efficient



Integrated energy storage system

- Molten salt energy tanks
- Allows ramp up to 500 MWe for 5+ hours
- Larger than any commercial battery available today



Energy Island Thermal Storage







Thermal Storage

- ld
 - Number of tanks based on customer's energy need
 - Steam generator trains based on size of turbines
 - Turbine size based on customer's power



Benefits of Energy Storage

- Dispatchable & CO2 free
- Step change in nuclear power flexibility
- Competitive with heavy duty combined cycle
- Unmatched GWe scale energy storage
- Nuclear island unaffected by turbine load following

	Ramp Rate (P _{nom} /min)	Turndown (P _{nom} minimum)
AP1000 ¹	0.4%	15%
NuScale ²	0.8%	20%
Hard Coal ³	6%	10-20%
NATRÍUM ⁴	10%	20%
CCCT 1x1, GE 7F.05 ⁵	10.4%	43%
CCCT 1x1, GE 7HA.03 ⁵	11.7%	33%

Notes

- Load following capability per AP1000 Design Control Document Tier 2 sections 3.9.1.1.1 r19 & 7.7.1.1 r16. 2%/min for <10%P_{nom} is available for frequency response.
- 2. <u>https://www.nuscalepower.com/environment/renewables/solutions-to-the-duck-</u> <u>curve</u>
- 3. IRENA (2019), Innovation Landscape Brief: Flexibility In Conventional Power Plants
- 4. Further design expected to reveal higher ramp rate & lower turndown
- 5. GEA32930A (05/2020), GEA34163 (09/2019)



Energy Storage & Ramping Balance a Renewables-Based Grid

Significant price volatility from solar daily / seasonal variability - WECC Region





Basic Operation

Ę

Charging: Low Price $\dot{W}_T < \eta_T \dot{Q}_{R_T}$

- Hot salt tank level increases •
- Cold salt tank level decreases ٠

Discharging: High Price $\dot{W}_T > \eta_T \dot{Q}_{Rx}$

- Hot tank salt level decreases ٠
- Cold tank salt level increases ٠

```
Even: \dot{W_T} = \eta_T \dot{Q}_{R_T}
```

- Steady hot tank salt level
- Steady cold tank salt level ٠



Low price - sell less, high price – sell more

Store when renewables are producing power (lower prices) and discharge when they are not (higher prices) ٠

100

75

50

25

Power [%]

- The Natrium design is different from LWRs because the outlet temperature is high enough to support storage. ٠
- Reactor output is steady ... minimize cycling of reactor ٠
- Price following above and below 100% reactor power ٠

 $\frac{\left(\rho V_{working}\right)_{hot} \left(C_{hot} T_{hot} - C_{cold} T_{cold}\right)}{\frac{\dot{Q}_T}{\eta_T} - \dot{Q}_{Rx}}$ Full Power Turbine Hours =

Electric Energy Prices: JEPX 2019/2020

Optimized Load-Following With Boosted Capacity

Fal

Summer

Spring



140

120

80

60

40

20

24

100 툴

Price [\$/|

Energy

Total System Cost Reduction with Energy Storage

Breakthrough Energy Grid Model (<u>https://science.breakthroughenergy.org/</u>) of generation and transmission for the Western Interconnect with 90% carbon free energy



New renewables only: over 12,000 GW-miles of new transmission capacity needed in lowest-cost scenario



Renewables + flexible nuclear: 83% reduction in new transmission capacity



Natrium Cost Advantage



400m Environmental Protection Zone

- Natrium maintains its key advantages relative to other designs:
 - Reduced nuclear quantities and construction labor
 - Shorter construction duration
 - Added value from energy storage
 - Smaller Emergency Planning Zone (EPZ)
- Total cost of energy for the current Natrium design is lower than competitors
- We are realizing cost reductions on the base Natrium design and will continue to do so
- We are also pursuing technologies that can reduce costs on future commercial units



Natrium in Wyoming







- Developing the first Natrium plant near a retiring coal plant in Wyoming with utility PacifiCorp
- Announced final site choice in Kemmerer, Wyoming, November 2021
- 1,600 construction jobs at peak; 200-250 full time jobs when the plant is operational
- Non-nuclear construction began in June 2024 and plant operational is planned ca. 2030



Natrium Reactor's Strategic Advantage

- Natrium reactor delivers
 - Low Cost
 - Clean Electricity
 - Dispatchable Power
 - Clean Heat
 - Resilience changing markets
- Natrium reactor's target market
 - 80% decarbonization
 - Diminishing returns
- Scarcity
 - Strong market need
 - Challenging production





Mitigating Megaproject Challenges

Nuclear Vendor – Challenges

- Incomplete Design & License
- Inexperienced Supply Chain & Workforce
- Inequitable Contract Structure

Client Requires Certainty

- Cost
- Schedule
- Plant Performance





Natrium Reactor Development Roadmap

• First-of-a-kind (FOAK)

- Demonstration Reactor Kemmerer, Wyoming, completed circa 2030.
- Mature Design & License
- Next-of-a-kind (NxOAK)
 - Mature the Natrium Reactor technology beyond FOAK.
 - Supply Chain and Workforce Mature & Expanding
- Nth-of-a-kind (NOAK)
 - Standardized design
 - $\circ\,$ Experienced supply chain and workforce,
 - Fair contract structure





Targeted Clients

- Attractive Industries
 - Large Energy Consumers
 - Converting to Clean Energy
 - $\circ~$ Perceive utilities as slow moving
- Commodity Producers
 - \circ Energy cost sensitive
 - Require Mature Technology
 - Disruption to Organization
- Ranking the Commodity Producers
 - o Best Company can influence or control global price
 - $\circ~$ Good primary form of energy is heat
 - $\circ~$ Poor primary form of energy is electricity





THANK YOU

To learn more, visit www.terrapower.com



Copyright © 2024 TerraPower, LLC. All Rights Reserved

Nuclear Energy & Military Installations

Steve Carr Principal Account Manager

Colorado Springs Utilities



UPAC Officer Eligibility and Selection



According to UPAC Bylaws, the Committee shall elect the Chair and Vice-Chair for the next calendar year at the Committee's December meeting.



The Chair shall be elected by a majority vote of the Committee members following nomination(s) by a member or members of the Committee, which nomination(s) must be seconded. The Vice-Chair shall be elected in the same manner as the Chair. If a nominee fails to get elected, nominations shall be reopened.



The Chair and Vice-Chair shall have a voice and shall vote in the selection of the officers of the Committee.



Committee members shall not serve more than two consecutive years as Chair and not more than two consecutive years as Vice-Chair.

UPAC Officer Eligibility

UPAC Chair

Michael Borden Gary Burghart Kate Danner Scott Smith David Watson Chris Meyer

UPAC Vice Chair

Michael Borden Gary Burghart Kate Danner Scott Smith **David Watson** Chris Meyer Larry Barrett